

Lawrence E. Buterman  
Direct Dial: 212.906.1264  
lawrence.buterman@lw.com

53rd at Third  
885 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022-4834  
Tel: +1.212.906.1200 Fax: +1.212.751.4864  
www.lw.com

**LATHAM & WATKINS** LLP

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES

Beijing	Moscow
Boston	Munich
Brussels	New York
Century City	Orange County
Chicago	Paris
Dubai	Riyadh
Düsseldorf	San Diego
Frankfurt	San Francisco
Hamburg	Seoul
Hong Kong	Shanghai
Houston	Silicon Valley
London	Singapore
Los Angeles	Tokyo
Madrid	Washington, D.C.
Milan	

October 24, 2019

**VIA ECF AND EMAIL**

The Honorable Valerie Caproni  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
40 Foley Square, Room 240  
New York, NY 10007

Re: Relevant Sports, LLC v. United States Soccer Federation, Inc.,  
Case No. 1:19-cv-08359 (VEC)

Dear Judge Caproni:

On behalf of Defendant United States Soccer Federation, Inc., we write to request permission to file the enclosed joint letter in the above-captioned case under seal, pursuant to Rule 5.A of Your Honor's Individual Practices in Civil Cases. Plaintiff Relevant Sports, LLC ("Relevant") does not oppose this request. U.S. Soccer makes this request because the joint letter contains information that both U.S. Soccer and Relevant are contractually required to keep confidential. Specifically, the redacted portions of pages 3, 4, and 5 of the joint letter contain information that U.S. Soccer and Relevant are required to keep confidential pursuant to a confidential agreement between the parties. The Court previously sealed aspects of Relevant's Complaint that discuss the same confidential information that is sought to be sealed here. *See* Dkt. No. 8.

The Second Circuit in *Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga*, 435 F.3d 110, 119–20 (2d Cir. 2006), explained that the presumption of public access to judicial documents rests on the notion that public monitoring of the work of the federal courts "is an essential feature of democratic control. ... Such monitoring is not possible without access to testimony and documents that are used in the performance of Article III functions." Once a court has determined that the documents sought to be sealed are judicial documents to which a common law presumption of access attaches, it must determine the weight of that presumption. *Id.* at 119.

At the same time, this Court has "considerable discretion in determining whether good cause exists to overcome the presumption of open access to documents in [its] courts." *Geller v. Branick Int'l Realty Corp.*, 212 F.3d 734, 738 (2d Cir. 2000). And under Second Circuit law, a confidentiality agreement may form the basis for a sealing order. *See DiRussa v. Dean Witter Reynold, Inc.*, 121 F.3d 818, 828 (2d Cir. 1997). The narrowly tailored portions of the parties' joint letter contain information that U.S. Soccer and Relevant are required to keep confidential pursuant to a confidential agreement. The information sought to be filed under seal is of a

LATHAM & WATKINS<sup>LLP</sup>

limited quantity, as it accounts for approximately 5 percent (.25 out of 5 pages) of the substance of the joint letter. This further supports the issuance of a sealing order. *See Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Ams., Inc.*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107801, at \*42 (S.D.N.Y. July 31, 2012).

Accordingly, U.S. Soccer respectfully requests that the Court issue an order permitting it to file (1) a redacted version of the joint letter for the public docket and (2) an unredacted version of the joint letter under seal.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lawrence E. Buterman

Lawrence E. Buterman  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
885 Third Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
lawrence.buterman@lw.com

*Attorneys for Defendant United States  
Soccer Federation, Inc.*

cc: All counsel of record (via ECF and email)